

EXISTENCE OF A GROUP STRUCTURE IN ZF SET THEORY

CHRISOSTOMOS PSITOPOULOS

Abstract

In terms of ZF set theory and without using the axiom of choice, we give a necessary and sufficient condition so that, given a non-empty set A , there exists an inner binary operation $(\cdot) : A \times A \rightarrow A$ and an element $e \in A$, such that (A, \cdot, e) is a group.

0. NOTATION

- Let A be a non-empty set. We denote by $\Delta_{A \times A} = \{(a, a) : a \in A\}$ the diagonal of $A \times A$.
- If $H : X \leftrightarrow Y$ is a bijection from X to Y we denote by $H^* : Y \leftrightarrow X$ the inverse bijection of H .
- If $F \subseteq X \times X$ and $G \subseteq X \times X$ are binary relations in X , we set: $F \circ G = \{(x, z) : \text{there exists } y \in X \text{ that } (x, y) \in F \text{ and } (y, z) \in G\}$.
- Let (\sim) be an equivalence relation in the set $A \times A$. Then for any $(a, b) \in A \times A$, $\overline{(a, b)}$ denotes the equivalence class of (a, b) .

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known in the mathematical community that in ZFC set theory every set can become a group [2]. In the weaker case of ZF set theory we need to characterize those sets which can become groups.

Our approach is based on the following definition:

Definition 1.1. *If A is a non-empty set, \mathcal{R} a partition of $A \times A$ into graphs of functions $f : A \rightarrow A$, $\Delta_{A \times A} \in \mathcal{R}$, and $(\mathcal{R}, \circ, \Delta_{A \times A})$ is a group, then $(A, \mathcal{R}, \circ, \Delta_{A \times A})$ is called a “**shadow (or sketched) group structure over A** ”.*

Remark 1.1. *The term “shadowed” has been extensively used in the literature of Fuzzy Sets and related structures, in a very different sense, in order to express various fuzzifications of the notion of a set (see for example [1]). Here, the term “shadow group structure” refers to a set-theoretical description in the classical context of Set Theory.*

Remark 1.2. *If $(A, \mathcal{R}, \circ, \Delta_{A \times A})$ is a shadow group structure, then the members of \mathcal{R} are graphs of bijections. Indeed, since every function graph in \mathcal{R} has an inverse in \mathcal{R} , it is in fact the graph of a bijection of A onto itself.*

2. A NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITION

Suppose that $(A, \mathcal{R}, \circ, \Delta_{A \times A})$ is a shadow group structure over A . We consider the equivalence relation (\sim) induced by \mathcal{R} . We choose a fixed $e \in A$. Let $H : A \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ the function defined by $H(a) = \overline{(a, e)}$, i.e., H sends each $a \in A$ to the bijection graph which forms the equivalence class of the pair (a, e) in \mathcal{R} .

Theorem 2.1. *The function $H : A \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is a bijection.*

Date: Submitted July 2, 2024; accepted September 3, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 08-08.

Key words and phrases. ZF-Set Theory, Inner binary operations, Group Structure on a Set.

Proof. It is enough to prove that

- (i) H is an injection.
- (ii) H is a surjection.

For (i): Suppose that H is not an injection. Then there would exist at least two elements $x \neq y$ in A with $H(x) = H(y)$, i.e., $\overline{x, e} = \overline{y, e}$ which is absurd because $\overline{x, e}$ and $\overline{y, e}$ are graphs of the same bijection.

For (ii): Let $\overline{x, y}$ be given in \mathcal{R} . The equivalence class $\overline{x, y}$ is the graph of a bijection $A \leftrightarrow A$. If the inverse of this bijection sends e to $w \in A$, then

$$H(w) = \overline{w, e} = \overline{x, y},$$

since the last equation is equivalent to $\overline{x, y}(w) = e$.

Observe that for every $a \in A$,

$$H(e) = \overline{e, e} = \Delta_{A \times A} = \overline{a, a}.$$

□

We also define a binary inner operation (\cdot) in A as follows:

$$a \cdot b = H^*(\overline{a, e} \circ \overline{b, e}),$$

where H^* is the inverse of $H : A \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$. We call the operation (\cdot) “*the binary operation in A induced by $(A, \mathcal{R}, \circ, \Delta_{A \times A})$* ”.

Theorem 2.2. *The structure (A, \cdot, e) is a group.*

Proof. First we show that the operation (\cdot) is associative. Let $a, b, c \in A$. Then we have:

$$H((a \cdot b) \cdot c) = \overline{a \cdot b, e} \circ \overline{c, e} = (\overline{a, e} \circ \overline{b, e}) \circ \overline{c, e} = \overline{a, e} \circ \overline{b \cdot c, e} = H(a \cdot (b \cdot c)).$$

Since H is a bijection we have

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c).$$

Also if $a \in A$ then

$$H(a \cdot e) = \overline{a, e} \circ \overline{e, e} = \overline{a, e} \circ \Delta_{A \times A} = \overline{a, e} = H(a).$$

Hence $a \cdot e = a$. Also, $H(e \cdot a) = \overline{a, a} \circ \overline{e, e} = H(a)$.

Finally for every $a \in A$ we set

$$a^{-1} = H^*(\overline{e, a}).$$

Then

$$\overline{a^{-1}, e} = H(a^{-1}) = H(H^*(\overline{e, a})) = \overline{e, a}$$

and

$$H(a \cdot a^{-1}) = \overline{a, e} \circ \overline{a^{-1}, e} = \overline{a, e} \circ \overline{e, a} = \overline{a, a} = \overline{e, e} = H(e).$$

Hence, $a \cdot a^{-1} = e$, and similarly $a^{-1} \cdot a = e$, so a^{-1} is the inverse element of a .

□

Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 show that the existence of a shadow group structure in a set A is a sufficient condition in order that A becomes a group. Now we prove that this condition is also necessary.

Theorem 2.3. *Let A be a non-empty set. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists an inner binary operation $(\cdot) : A \times A \rightarrow A$ and $e \in A$ such that (A, \cdot, e) is a group.*
- (ii) *There exists a shadow group structure $(A, \mathcal{R}, \circ, \Delta_{A \times A})$ over A .*

Proof. (i) \implies (ii): If (A, \cdot, e) is a group we define in $A \times A$ the equivalence relation (\sim) by $(x, y) \sim (z, w)$ if and only if $x \cdot y^{-1} = z \cdot w^{-1}$, where $x, y, z, w \in A$ and $\mathcal{R} = A \times A / \sim$. We shall prove that $(A, \mathcal{R}, \circ, \Delta_{A \times A})$ is a shadow group structure.

Indeed we prove first that (\circ) is an inner operation. We consider the auxiliary function $H^* : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow A$ defined by the formula $H^*(\overline{a, b}) = a \cdot b^{-1}$. This is a well defined function, which is a bijection. Thus, every equivalence class $\overline{a \cdot b}$ can be viewed as a bijection of A onto itself defined as follows: to each $x \in A$ corresponds a unique $y \in A$ such that $y = ab^{-1}x$, and vice-versa, each $y \in A$ is the image of a unique x by the same correspondence, since $x = (ab^{-1})^{-1}y$.

Consider $\overline{a, b} \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\overline{c, d} \in \mathcal{R}$, as bijections of A onto A . We prove that $\overline{a, b} \circ \overline{c, d} \in \mathcal{R}$. We have:

$$H^*(\overline{a \cdot b^{-1}, e}) = a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot e^{-1} = a \cdot b^{-1} = H^*(\overline{a, b}).$$

Also,

$$H^*(\overline{e, d \cdot c^{-1}}) = e \cdot (d \cdot c^{-1})^{-1} = c \cdot d^{-1} = H^*(\overline{c, d}).$$

From $H^*(\overline{a \cdot b^{-1}, e}) = H^*(\overline{a, b})$, we get $\overline{a \cdot b^{-1}, e} = \overline{a, b}$ and from $H^*(\overline{e, d \cdot c^{-1}}) = H^*(\overline{c, d})$, we get $\overline{e, d \cdot c^{-1}} = \overline{c, d}$.

Hence,

$$\overline{a, b} \circ \overline{c, d} = \overline{a \cdot b^{-1}, e} \circ \overline{e, d \cdot c^{-1}} = \overline{a \cdot b^{-1}, d \cdot c^{-1}} \in \mathcal{R}.$$

The rest of proving that $(A, \mathcal{R}, \circ, \Delta_{A \times A})$ is a shadow group structure follows easily, since in fact \mathcal{R} is the set of bijection graphs.

(ii) \implies (i): From the theorems 2.1 and 2.2. □

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am grateful to my cousin Ioannis Athanasios, to professor Gerasimos Meletiou and to Dionysis Kalogirou-Loumitis, for their essential human help during the preparation of this paper, and to associate professor A. Patronis for his valuable mathematical suggestions after reading the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] Gianpiero Cattaneo and Davide Ciucci. Shadowed sets and related algebraic structures. *Fundamenta Informaticae*, 55(3-4):255–284, 2003.
- [2] András Hajnal and Andor Kertész. Some new algebraic equivalents of the axiom of choice. *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 19:339–340, 1972.

5 PONTOU STREET, ARTA 47100, GREECE
 Email address: psito1964@gmail.com