# A class of non-convex polytopes that admit no orthonormal basis of exponentials

MIHAIL N. KOLOUNTZAKIS and MICHAEL PAPADIMITRAKIS<sup>1</sup>

#### Abstract

A conjecture of Fuglede states that a bounded measurable set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , of measure 1, can tile  $\mathbb{R}^d$  by translations if and only if the Hilbert space  $L^2(\Omega)$  has an orthonormal basis consisting of exponentials  $e_{\lambda}(x) = \exp 2\pi i \langle \lambda, x \rangle$ . If  $\Omega$  has the latter property it is called *spectral*. Let  $\Omega$  be a polytope in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with the following property: there is a direction  $\xi \in S^{d-1}$  such that, of all the polytope faces perpendicular to  $\xi$ , the total area of the faces pointing in the positive  $\xi$  direction is more than the total area of the faces pointing in the negative  $\xi$  direction. It is almost obvious that such a polytope  $\Omega$  cannot tile space by translation. We prove in this paper that such a domain is also not spectral, which agrees with Fuglede's conjecture. As a corollary, we obtain a new proof of the fact that a convex body that is spectral is necessarily symmetric, in the case where the body is a polytope.

Let  $\Omega$  be a measurable subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , which we take for convenience to be of measure 1. Let also  $\Lambda$  be a discrete subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We write

$$e_{\lambda}(x) = \exp 2\pi i \langle \lambda, x \rangle, \quad (\lambda, x \in \mathbb{R}^d),$$
  
 $E_{\Lambda} = \{e_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \subset L^2(\Omega).$ 

The inner product and norm on  $L^2(\Omega)$  are

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\Omega} = \int_{\Omega} f \overline{g}, \text{ and } ||f||_{\Omega}^2 = \int_{\Omega} |f|^2.$$

**Definition 1** The pair  $(\Omega, \Lambda)$  is called a *spectral pair* if  $E_{\Lambda}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(\Omega)$ . A set  $\Omega$  will be called *spectral* if there is  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $(\Omega, \Lambda)$  is a spectral pair. The set  $\Lambda$  is then called a *spectrum* of  $\Omega$ .

**Example:** If  $Q_d = (-1/2, 1/2)^d$  is the cube of unit volume in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  then  $(Q_d, \mathbb{Z}^d)$  is a spectral pair (d-dimensional Fourier series).

We write 
$$B_R(x) = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x - y| < R \}.$$

## Definition 2 (Density)

The discrete set  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  has density  $\rho$ , and we write  $\rho = \operatorname{dens} \Lambda$ , if we have

$$\rho = \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{\#(\Lambda \cap B_R(x))}{|B_R(x)|},$$

uniformly for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

We define translational tiling for complex-valued functions below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Partial support for both authors from INTAS grant, project 99-01080.

**Definition 3** Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$  be measurable and  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a discrete set. We say that f tiles with  $\Lambda$  at level  $w \in \mathbb{C}$ , and sometimes write " $f + \Lambda = w\mathbb{R}^{d}$ ", if

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f(x - \lambda) = w, \text{ for almost every (Lebesgue) } x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{1}$$

with the sum above converging absolutely a.e. If  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is measurable we say that  $\Omega + \Lambda$  is a tiling when  $\mathbf{1}_{\Omega} + \Lambda = w\mathbb{R}^d$ , for some w. If w is not mentioned it is understood to be equal to 1.

### Remark 1

If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $f \geq 0$ , and  $f + \Lambda = w\mathbb{R}^d$ , then the set  $\Lambda$  has density

$$\operatorname{dens} \Lambda = \frac{w}{\int f}.$$

The following conjecture is still unresolved in all dimensions and in both directions.

**Conjecture:** (Fuglede [F74]) If  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is bounded and has Lebesgue measure 1 then  $L^2(\Omega)$  has an orthonormal basis of exponentials if and only if there exists  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\Omega + \Lambda = \mathbb{R}^d$  is a tiling.

Fuglede's conjecture has been confirmed in several cases.

- 1. Fuglede [F74] shows that if  $\Omega$  tiles with  $\Lambda$  being a lattice then it is spectral with the dual lattice  $\Lambda^*$  being a spectrum. Conversely, if  $\Omega$  has a lattice  $\Lambda$  as a spectrum then it tiles by the dual lattice  $\Lambda^*$ .
- 2. If  $\Omega$  is a convex non-symmetric domain (bounded, open set) then, as the first author of the present paper has proved [**K00**], it cannot be spectral. It has long been known that convex domains which tile by translation must be symmetric.
- 3. When  $\Omega$  is a smooth convex domain it is clear that it admits no translational tilings. Iosevich, Katz and Tao [**IKT**] have shown that it is also not spectral.
- 4. There has also been significant progress in dimension 1 (the conjecture is still open there as well) by Łaba [**La**, **Lb**]. For example, the conjecture has been proved in dimension 1 if the domain  $\Omega$  is the union of two intervals.

In this paper we describe a wide class of, generally non-convex, polytopes for which Fuglede's conjecture holds.

**Theorem 1** Suppose  $\Omega$  is a polytope in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with the following property: there is a direction  $\xi \in S^{d-1}$  such that

$$\sum_{i} \sigma^*(\Omega_i) \neq 0.$$

The finite sum is extended over all faces  $\Omega_i$  of  $\Omega$  which are orthogonal to  $\xi$  and  $\sigma^*(\Omega_i) = \pm \sigma(\Omega_i)$ , where  $\sigma(\Omega_i)$  is the surface measure of  $\Omega_i$  and the  $\pm$  sign depends upon whether the outward unit normal vector to  $\Omega_i$  is in the same or opposite direction with  $\xi$ .

Then  $\Omega$  is not spectral.

Such polytopes cannot tile space by translation for the following, intuitively clear, reason. In any conceivable such tiling the set of positive-looking faces perpendicular to  $\xi$  must be countered by an equal area of negatively-looking  $\xi$ -faces, which is impossible because there is more (say) area of the former than the latter.

The following corollary is a special case of the result in [K00], which says that all spectral convex domains are symmetric.

Corollary 1 If  $\Omega$  is a spectral convex polytope then it is necessarily symmetric.

**Proof.** If  $\Omega$  is spectral, then, from Theorem 1 the area measure of  $\Omega$  is symmetric (see [S] for the definition of the area measure).

This implies that  $\Omega$  is itself symmetric, as the area measure determines a convex body up to translation [S, Th. 4.3.1], and therefore  $\Omega$  and  $-\Omega$  which have the same surface measure are translates of each other.

It has been observed in recent work on this problem (see e.g.  $[\mathbf{K00}]$ ) that a domain (of volume 1) is spectral with spectrum  $\Lambda$  if and only if  $|\widehat{\chi_{\Omega}}|^2 + \Lambda$  is a tiling of Euclidean space at level 1. By Remark 1 this implies that  $\Lambda$  has density 1.

By the orthogonality of  $e_{\lambda}$  and  $e_{\mu}$  for any two different  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  in  $\Lambda$ , it follows that

$$\widehat{\chi}_{\Omega}(\lambda - \mu) = 0. \tag{2}$$

It is only this property, and the fact that any spectrum of  $\Omega$  must have density 1, that are used in the proof.

## Proof of Theorem 1.

The quantities  $P, Q, N, \ell$  and K, which are introduced in the proof below, will depend only on the domain  $\Omega$ . (The letter K will denote several different constants.)

Suppose that  $\Lambda$  is a spectrum of  $\Omega$ . Define the Fourier transform of  $\chi_{\Omega}$  as

$$\widehat{\chi_{\Omega}}(\eta) = \int_{\Omega} e^{-2\pi i \langle x, \eta \rangle} \, dx.$$

By an easy application of the divergence theorem we get

$$\widehat{\chi_{\Omega}}(\eta) = -\frac{1}{i|\eta|} \int_{\partial \Omega} e^{-2\pi i \langle x, \eta \rangle} \left\langle \frac{\eta}{|\eta|}, \nu(x) \right\rangle d\sigma(x), \quad \eta \neq 0,$$

where  $\nu(x) = (\nu_1(x), \dots, \nu_d(x))$  is the outward unit normal vector to  $\partial\Omega$  at  $x \in \partial\Omega$  and  $d\sigma$  is the surface measure on  $\partial\Omega$ .

From the last formula we easily see that for some  $K \geq 1$ 

$$|\nabla\widehat{\chi_{\Omega}}(\eta)| \le \frac{K}{|\eta|}, \quad |\eta| \ge 1.$$
 (3)

Without loss of generality we assume that  $\xi = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ . Hence

$$\widehat{\chi_{\Omega}}(t\xi) = -\frac{1}{it} \int_{\partial\Omega} e^{-2\pi i t x_d} \nu_d(x) \, d\sigma(x).$$

Now it is easy to see that each face of the polytope other than any of the  $\Omega_i$ s contributes  $O(t^{-2})$  to  $\widehat{\chi}_{\Omega}(t\xi)$  as  $t\to\infty$ . Therefore

$$\left|\widehat{\chi_{\Omega}}(t\xi) + \frac{1}{it} \sum_{i} e^{-2\pi i \lambda_{i} t} \sigma^{*}(\Omega_{i})\right| \leq \frac{K}{t^{2}}, \quad t \geq 1,$$
(4)

where  $\lambda_i$  is the value of  $x_d$  for  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \Omega_i$ .

Now define

$$f(t) = \sum_{i} \sigma^{*}(\Omega_{i})e^{-2\pi i\lambda_{i}t}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

f is a finite trigonometric sum and has the following properties:

- (i) f is an almost-periodic function.
- (ii)  $f(0) \neq 0$  by assumption. Without loss of generality assume f(0) = 1.
- (iii)  $|f'(t)| \leq K$ , for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

By (i), for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an  $\ell > 0$  such that every interval of  $\mathbb{R}$  of length  $\ell$  contains a translation number  $\tau$  of f belonging to  $\epsilon$ :

$$\sup_{t} |f(t+\tau) - f(t)| \le \epsilon \tag{5}$$

(see [**B32**]).

Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  to be determined later ( $\epsilon = 1/6$  will do) and the corresponding  $\ell$ . Fix the partition of  $\mathbb{R}$  in consecutive intervals of length  $\ell$ , one of them being  $[0,\ell]$ . Divide each of these  $\ell$ -intervals into N consecutive equal intervals of length  $\ell/N$ , where

$$N > \frac{6K\ell\sqrt{d-1}}{\epsilon}.$$

In each  $\ell$ -interval there is at least one  $\frac{\ell}{N}$ -interval containing a number  $\tau$  satisfying (5). For example, in  $[0,\ell]$  we may take  $\tau=0$  and the corresponding  $\frac{\ell}{N}$ -interval to be  $[0,\ell/N]$ .

Define the set L to be the union of all these  $\frac{\ell}{N}$ -intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $L\xi$  is a copy of L on the  $x_d$ -axis. Construct M by translating copies of the cube  $[0,\ell/N]^d$  along the  $x_d$ -axis so that they have their  $x_d$ -edges on the  $\frac{\ell}{N}$ -intervals of  $L\xi$ .

The point now is that there can be no two  $\lambda s$  of  $\Lambda$  in the same translate of M, at distance  $D > \frac{2K}{\epsilon}$  from each other. Suppose, on the contrary, that

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda, |\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| \ge D, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in M + \eta.$$

Then  $\lambda_1 = t_1 \xi + \eta + \eta_1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = t_2 \xi + \eta + \eta_2$ , for some  $t_1, t_2 \in L$ ,  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with

$$|\eta_1|, |\eta_2| < \frac{\ell}{N} \sqrt{d-1} < \frac{\epsilon}{6K}.$$

Hence,  $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = (t_1 - t_2)\xi + \eta_1 - \eta_2$  and an application of the mean value theorem together with (2) and (3) gives

$$|\widehat{\chi_{\Omega}}((t_1 - t_2)\xi)| \le \frac{3K}{|t_1 - t_2|}|\eta_1 - \eta_2|.$$

From (4) we get

$$|f(t_1 - t_2)| \le 3K|\eta_1 - \eta_2| + \frac{K}{|t_1 - t_2|} < 2\epsilon.$$

Now, since  $t_1, t_2 \in L$ , there exist  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  satisfying (5) so that

$$|\tau_1 - t_1|, |\tau_2 - t_2| < \frac{\ell}{N}$$

and hence (by (iii))

$$|f(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - f(\tau_1 - t_2)|, |f(\tau_1 - t_2) - f(t_1 - t_2)| < K \frac{\ell}{N} < \epsilon.$$

Therefore

$$2\epsilon > |f(t_1 - t_2)|$$

$$\geq |f(0)| - |f(0) - f(-\tau_2)| - |f(-\tau_2) - f(\tau_1 - \tau_2)|$$

$$-|f(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - f(\tau_1 - t_2)| - |f(\tau_1 - t_2) - f(t_1 - t_2)|$$

$$\geq 1 - \epsilon - \epsilon - \epsilon - \epsilon.$$

It suffices to take  $\epsilon = 1/6$  for a contradiction.

Therefore, as the distance between any two  $\lambda$ s is bounded below by the modulus of the zero of  $\widehat{\chi_{\Omega}}$  that is nearest to the origin, there exists a natural number P so that every translate of M contains at most P elements of  $\Lambda$  and, hence, there exists a natural number Q (we may take Q = 2NP) so that every translate of

$$\mathbb{R}\xi + [0, \frac{\ell}{N}]^d$$

contains at most Q elements of  $\Lambda$ .

It follows that  $\Lambda$  cannot have positive density, a contradiction as any spectrum of  $\Omega$  (which has volume 1) must have density equal to 1.

Bibliography

- [B32] A.S. Besicovitch, Almost periodic functions, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1932.
- [F74] B. Fuglede, Commuting self-adjoint partial differential operators and a group theoretic problem, J. Funct. Anal. 16(1974), 101-121.
- [**IKT**] A. Iosevich, N. Katz and T. Tao, Convex bodies with a point of curvature do not admit exponential bases, Amer. J. Math., to appear.
- [K00] M.N. Kolountzakis, Non-symmetric convex domains have no basis for exponentials, Illinois J. Math. 44 (2000), No 3, 542-550.
- [La] I. Łaba, Fuglede's conjecture for a union of two intervals, preprint.
- [Lb] I. Łaba, The spectral set conjecture and multiplicative properties of roots of polynomials, preprint.
- [S] R. Schneider, Convex bodies: The Brunn-Minkowksi Theory, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1993.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CRETE, KNOSSOS AVE., 714 09 IRAKLIO, GREECE. E-MAIL: mk@fourier.math.uoc.gr, papadim@math.uoc.gr